1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7		
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
9	FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
10		
11	DARYL ANTHONY HICKS,	No. 2:20-cv-2080 WBS KJN P
12	Plaintiff,	
13	v.	<u>ORDER</u>
14	PATRICK COVELLO, et al.,	
15	Defendants.	
16		
17	Plaintiff, a state prisoner proceeding pro se, filed this civil rights action seeking relief	
18	under 42 U.S.C. § 1983. The matter was referred to a United States Magistrate Judge pursuant to	
19	28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(B) and Local Rule 302.	
20	On June 1, 2021, the magistrate judge filed findings and recommendations herein which	
21	were served on all parties and which contained notice to all parties that any objections to the	
22	findings and recommendations were to be filed within twenty-one days. Plaintiff filed objections	
23	to the findings and recommendations.	
24	In accordance with the provisions of 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(C) and Local Rule 304, this	
25	court has conducted a de novo review of this case. Having carefully reviewed the entire file, the	
26	court finds the findings and recommendations to be supported by the record and by proper	
27	analysis.	
28	/////	

Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that the findings and recommendations filed June 1, 2021, are adopted in full; AND IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that plaintiff's property claims against defendants Miller, Lomas, Pendleton and Tsui are dismissed with prejudice, and plaintiff's remaining claims against defendants Lomas, Pendleton and Tsui are dismissed without prejudice. Dated: July 6, 2021 UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE /hick2080.805